DEFENDANT JOHN WHOLER'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S

COMPLAINT; CASE NO.: C08-01714 SBA

Document 24

Filed 08/20/2008

Page 1 of 15

G:\Data\DOCS\0160\03965\Answer

-Wohler.wpd

Case 4:08-cv-01714-SBA

9

6

12

13

15

belief upon which to base an answer, and, for that reason, denies generally and specifically the allegations contained therein.

- 6. Answering paragraph 6 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to base an answer, and, for that reason, denies generally and specifically the allegations contained therein.
 - 7. Answering paragraph 7 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that venue is proper.
 - 8. Answering paragraph 8 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that venue is proper.
 - 9. Answering paragraph 9 of the Complaint, specifically denies all these allegations.
- 10. Answering paragraph 10 of the Complaint, defendant admits that Officer Williams handles the police dog. Defendant specifically denies all other allegations.
- 11. Answering paragraph 11 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that verbal warnings were issued. As to all other allegations, defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to base an answer, and, for that reason, denies generally and specifically the other allegations contained therein.
- 12. Answering paragraph 12 of the Complaint, Defendant denies that plaintiff was not fleeing the officers in that he refused to comply with their orders. As to all other allegations, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to base an answer, and, for that reason, denies generally and specifically the other allegations contained therein.
- 13. Answering paragraph 13 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 14. Answering paragraph 14 of the Complaint, Defendants specifically deny all these allegations.
- 15. Answering paragraph 15 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 16. Answering paragraph 16 of the Complaint, answering defendant incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 15, inclusive, as if said responses were fully set forth herein.
 - 17. Answering paragraph 17 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information and

- 30. Answering paragraph 30 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 31. Answering paragraph 31 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations, and specifically denies all allegations in parts a-e.
- 32. Answering paragraph 32 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all of these allegations.
- 33. Answering paragraph 33 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies y all these allegations.
- 34. Answering paragraph 34 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 35. Answering paragraph 35 of the Complaint, answering defendant incorporates by reference his responses to Paragraphs 1 through 34, inclusive, as if said responses were fully set forth herein.
- 36. Answering paragraph 36 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to base an answer, and, for that reason, denies generally and specifically the allegations contained therein.
- 37. Answering paragraph 37 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to base an answer, and, for that reason, denies generally and specifically the allegations contained therein.
 - 38. Answering paragraph 38 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies any shooting.
- 39. Answering paragraph 39 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 40. Answering paragraph 40 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 41. Answering paragraph 41 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 42. Answering paragraph 42 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.

- 43. Answering paragraph 43 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 44. Answering paragraph 44 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to base an answer, and, for that reason, denies generally and specifically the allegations contained therein.
- 45. Answering paragraph 45 of the Complaint, answering defendant incorporates by reference his responses to Paragraphs 1 through 44, inclusive, as if said responses were fully set forth herein.
- 46. Answering paragraph 46 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to base an answer, and, for that reason, denies generally and specifically the allegations contained therein.
- 47. Answering paragraph 47 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to base an answer, and, for that reason, denies generally and specifically the allegations contained therein.
 - 48. Answering paragraph 48 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies any shooting.
- 49. Answering paragraph 49 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 50. Answering paragraph 50 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 51. Answering paragraph 51 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies y all these allegations.
- 52. Answering paragraph 52 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 53. Answering paragraph 53 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 54. Answering paragraph 54 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies these allegations.

55. Answering paragraph 55 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these

7

13

10

16

17

14

26

allegations.

- 56. Answering paragraph 56 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 57. Answering paragraph 57 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to base an answer, and, for that reason, denies generally and specifically the allegations contained therein.
- 58. Answering paragraph 58 of the Complaint, answering defendant incorporates by reference his responses to Paragraphs 1 through 57, inclusive, as if said responses were fully set forth herein.
- 59. Answering paragraph 59 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to base an answer, and, for that reason, denies generally and specifically the allegations contained therein.
- 60. Answering paragraph 60 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to base an answer, and, for that reason, denies generally and specifically the allegations contained therein.
- 61. Answering paragraph 61 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 62. Answering paragraph 62 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 63. Answering paragraph 63 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations, and specifically deny the allegations in subparts a-e.
- 64. Answering paragraph 64 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 65. Answering paragraph 65 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations, and specifically deny the allegations in subparts a-e.
- 66. Answering paragraph 66 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
 - 67. Answering paragraph 67 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these

6

9

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

- 80. Answering paragraph 80 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to base an answer, and, for that reason, denies generally and specifically the allegations contained therein.
- 81. Answering paragraph 81 of the Complaint, answering defendant incorporates by reference his responses to Paragraphs 1 through 80, inclusive, as if said responses were fully set forth herein.
- 82. Answering paragraph 82 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to base an answer, and, for that reason, denies generally and specifically the allegations contained therein.
- 83. Answering paragraph 83 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to base an answer, and, for that reason, denies generally and specifically the allegations contained therein.
- 84. Answering paragraph 84 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 85. Answering paragraph 85 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 86. Answering paragraph 86 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 87. Answering paragraph 87 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 88. Answering paragraph 88 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to base an answer, and, for that reason, denies generally and specifically the allegations contained therein.
- 89. Answering paragraph 89 of the Complaint, answering defendant incorporates by reference his responses to Paragraphs 1 through 88, inclusive, as if said responses were fully set forth herein.
 - 90. Answering paragraph 90 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information and

28

belief upon which to base an answer, and, for that reason, deny generally and specifically the allegations contained therein.

- 91. Answering paragraph 91 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to base an answer, and, for that reason, deny generally and specifically the allegations contained therein.
- 92. Answering paragraph 92 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 93. Answering paragraph 93 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 94. Answering paragraph 94 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 95. Answering paragraph 95 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 96. Answering paragraph 96 of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies all these allegations.
- 97. Answering paragraph 91 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief upon which to base an answer, and, for that reason, denies generally and specifically the allegations contained therein.

Answering plaintiffs' prayers of the Complaint, Defendant specifically denies y all these allegations.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

AS A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, and each alleged cause of action thereof, this answering defendant alleges that said Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

AS A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, and each alleged cause of action thereof, this answering defendant alleges that the injuries and damages Plaintiff complains of, if any, resulted from the acts and/or omissions of others, and without any fault on the part of this answering Defendant.

13

15

18

22

25

26 27

28

AS A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, and each alleged cause of action thereof, this answering defendant alleges that their actions were undertaken in good faith and with the reasonable belief that said actions were valid, necessary and constitutionally proper.

AS A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, and each alleged cause of action thereof, this answering defendant alleges that his acts were privileged under applicable statutes and case law.

AS A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, and each alleged cause of action thereof, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiff has failed to allege and/or have not stated facts sufficient to show an affirmative link between defendants and the acts which allegedly violated Plaintiff's rights.

AS A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, and each alleged cause of action thereof, this answering defendant alleges that Plaintiff failed to mitigate his damages, if any.

AS A SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, and each alleged cause of action thereof, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiff's Complaint is barred by the applicable Statute of Limitations.

AS AN EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, and each alleged cause of action thereof, this answering defendant alleges that the Complaint is barred by the equitable doctrine of laches.

AS A NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, and each alleged cause of action thereof, this answering defendant alleges that the Complaint is barred by the equitable doctrine of unclean hands.

AS A TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, and each alleged cause of action thereof, this answering defendant alleges that the Complaint is barred by the equitable doctrine of estoppel.

AS AN ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, and each alleged cause of action thereof, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiff has waived his rights to bring this action against him.

AS A TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, and each alleged cause of

and bel

action thereof, this answering defendant alleges that Plaintiff at all times had actual and/or constructive knowledge of the circumstances upon which plaintiff's Complaint is based. Plaintiff expressly accepted those circumstances and thereby ratified the conduct of which Plaintiff complained of.

AS A THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, and each alleged cause of action thereof, this answering defendant alleges that the Complained of conduct is privileged under California Civil Code §47 and applicable case law.

AS A FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, and each alleged cause of action thereof, this answering defendant alleges that any damages sustained by plaintiff were either fully or in part the fault of others, whether that fault be the proximate result of negligence, strict liability, breach of warranty, breach of contract, or any other type of fault caused by persons, firms, corporations or entities, other than this answering defendant, and that said negligence or fault comparatively reduces the percentage of fault or negligence, if any, by this answering defendant.

AS A FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, and each alleged cause of action thereof, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiff was himself careless and negligent in and about the matters alleged in the Complaint and that said carelessness and negligence on plaintiff's own part proximately contributed to plaintiff's loss and damage, if any there were. Under the doctrine of *Liv. Yellow Cab* (1975) 532 P.2d 1226, 119 Cal.Rptr. 858, plaintiff's contributory negligence shall reduce any and all damages sustained by said plaintiff.

AS A SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, and each alleged cause of action thereof, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiff was actively negligent in and about the matters alleged in the Complaint and is thereby barred from any recovery.

AS A SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, and each alleged cause of action thereof, this answering defendant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that plaintiff, with full appreciation of the particular risks involved, nevertheless knowingly and voluntarily assumed the risks and hazards of the incident complained of and the damages, if any, resulting therefrom.

AS AN EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, defendant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendant is immune, and their the conduct privileged under

California Government Code §800 et. seq., including, but not limited to Sections 815, 820.2, 820.4, 820.6 and 844.6.

AS A NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, defendant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendant's conduct was not motivated by evil motive or intent, nor reckless or callous indifference to the rights of others, thus punitive damages are not award-able against defendant.

AS A TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, defendant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendant is entitled to a qualified immunity because defendant did not violate clearly established statutory or constitutional rights of which a reasonable person or reasonable officer would have been aware.

AS A TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, defendant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendant JOHN WOHLER, an individual, did not commit a constitutional violation against plaintiff, caused by a policy, custom, or practice.

AS A TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, defendant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendant officers, public employees, are not liable for any alleged injuries sustained by plaintiff, as his alleged acts or omissions were committed in the execution or enforcement of the law, while exercising due care. (California Government Code §820.4)

AS A TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the Complaint, defendant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that plaintiff failed to exhaust his administrative remedies including, but not limited to, those pursuant to Government Code §900 through §935, et seq.

AS A TWENTY-FOURTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT, AND EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION THEREOF this answering defendant alleges that plaintiff failed to file a claim pursuant to the California Tort Claims Act.

///

26 1/

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27 //

28 ///

Case 4:08-cv-01714-SBA Document 24 Filed 08/20/2008 Page 13 of 15 WHEREFORE, this answering defendant prays that plaintiff take nothing from this defendant 1 by way of his Complaint, for attorneys fees and costs of suit incurred herein, and for such other and 2 further relief as the court deems just and proper. This answering defendant requests a jury trial. 3 4 DATED: August 20, 2008 CLAPP, MORONEY, BELLAGAMBA 5 and VUCINICH 6 7 By: JEFFREY-M. VUCINICH 8 PATRICK R. CO 9 Attorneys for Defendant JOHN WOHLER 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

27

ENRIQUE AYALA-CANJURA v. CITY OF EAST PALO ALTO, et al. Unites States District Court, Northern District of California Case C08-01714 SBA

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby declare that I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the

4	within action. My business address is 1111 Bayhill Drive, Suite 300, San Bruno, CA 94066. On the date indicated below, I served the within:		
5	DEFENDANT JOHN WOHLER'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT		
	on the parties in this action by transmitting a true copy of the foregoing document(s) in the following manner:		
7 8 9 LO	Law Of 170 Co San Fra Tel: (41 Fax: (4	n Shaiken, Esq. ffices of Stephen Shaiken lumbus Avenue, Suite 100 nncisco, CA 94133-5102 15) 248-1012 15) 248-0019 or Plaintiff ENRIQUE AYALA-	
L2 L3	X	(BY UNITED STATED MAIL) I enclos addressed to the persons at the address l	ed the documents in a sealed envelope or package isted above and (specify one).
L4 L5		(1) \square deposited the seal envelope with fully pre-paid.	the United States Postal Service, with the postage
L6 L7 L8		practices. I am readily familiar with this correspondence for mailing. On the sam	on and mailing, following our ordinary business business's practice for collection and processing e day that correspondence is placed for collection y course of business with the United States Postal ge fully prepaid.
19 20 21		(BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I personally delivered the documents to the persons at the addresses as set forth above. (1) For a party represented by an attorney, delivery was made to the attorney or at the attorney's office by leaving the documents in an envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served with a receptionist or an individual in charge of the office. (2) for a party, delivery was made to the party or by leaving the documents at the party's residence with some person not less than 18 years of age between the hours of eight in the morning and six in the evening.	
23		(BY FAX TRANSMISSION) Based on an agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents to the persons at the fax numbers set forth above. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. A copy of the record of transmission, which I printed out, is attached.	
25 26 27		provided by an overnight delivery carrie	losed the documents in an envelope or package or and addressed to the persons set forth above. I llection and overnight delivery at an office or a light delivery carrier.
28		(BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRA	NSMISSION) Based on a Court order or an

1

2

1	agreement of the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the e-mail addressed as noted above. I did not		
2	receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.		
3	(DV MESSENCED SERVICE) I game i the decomments by placing then in an any long an		
4	(BY MESSENGER SERVICE) I served the documents by placing then in an envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses as noted above and providing them to a professional messenger service for service.		
5	professional messenger service for service. (A declaration by the messenger must accompany this Proof of Service or be contained in the Declaration of Messenger below.)		
6 7	Executed on August 20, 2008 at San Bruno, California. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.		
8			
9	Elaudia Gomez		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

G:\Data\DOCS\0160\03965\POS

Case 4:08-cv-01714-SBA Document 24 Filed 08/20/2008 Page 15 of 15